

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,649	Applicant(s) SEIFALIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kuo-Liang Peng	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) Kuo-Liang Peng.

(2) Arthur R. Crawford.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

38, 47, 50, 53-54, 65, 70 and 77

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuo-Liang Peng/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In Claims 38 (line 9), 47 (line 2) and 65 (line 8), replace "ratio" with - molar ratio – as supported in the specification (page 10, last paragraph) where the ratio is related to the NUMBERS of polyols and polysiloxanes. The other amendments are merely for typographical errors. .